**2. Analysing the partnership agreements and the operational programmes – monitoring the 20% of ESF dedicated to poverty and social exclusion**

Overall assessment

I . Having present the thematic ex-ante conditionalities of the European Structural and Investment Funds based on the **Guidance on Ex ante Conditionalities for the European Structural and Investment Funds of European Commission.**

**1 - Active inclusion**

* 1. *A national strategic policy framework for poverty reduction, aiming at active inclusion is in place in your country?*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *None* | *1* | *2* | *3* | *4* | *5* | *6* | *Full Strategy* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Countries | Score |
| X | 1 |
| X | 2 |
| X | 3 |
| X | 1 |
| X | 6 |
| X | 3 |
| X | 2 |
| X | 3 |
| X | 4 |
| X | 6 |
| Total Score | 31 |

Analyse:

10 Countries = total possible score of 60 points

After the answer summing the individual scores we obtained a total score of 31 points

Meaning: if the total possible score was 60 points (when all the responses would sign “6”) and we got only 31 points, the implementation of a Active Inclusice strategy, globablly in the UE is far behind the objectives.

Graphically this could be represented like this:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Active Inclusion Strategy implementation: fully implementated | |
| 60 points | |
| Reality after our analyse: |
| 31 points |

And this could also be expressed by country, comparing with the fully implemented and the reality after the answer. Example:

**EAPN Portugal**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Active Inclusion Strategy implementation: fully implementated | |
| 6 points | |
| Reality after our analyse: |
| 3 points |