

# Evaluation Form - EAPN Network Development Seminar 2014

*Network Development in the Framework of the MASS, based on member Annual Reports  
Brussels, Belgium 28-29 November 2014*

**NAME and ORGANISATION/NETWORK, COUNTRY (OPTIONAL):** \_\_\_\_\_

**MALE**  **FEMALE**

Which workshop and study visit did you participate in? \_\_\_\_\_

**1. Please rate the following questions:**

	5 (very good)	4 (good)	3 (undecided/neutral)	2 (bad)	1 (very bad)
1. Has this seminar helped advance EAPN objectives?	13	6			
2. Has the seminar helped strengthen EAPN's National Networks?	14	5			
3. Did the event match your needs?	12	5	2		
4. Did you gain relevant knowledge and information?	11	7	1		
5. Can you apply this in your work?	14	4	1		
6. Day 1 (policy making, participation, good governance and internal democracy)	13	6			
7. Day 2 – (Learning, inspiration and constructing the organizational success story)	12	6	1		
8. Overall methodology for the event	13	6			
9. Preparation by organizers (background documents/ mailing)	15	3	1		
10. Your preparation and participation	3	12	4		
11. Venue / Logistics (including interpretation)	10	8	1		
12. Food and Hotel	10	5	3	1	

**2. In your opinion what worked well in this Network Development seminar and what needs to be strengthened in the future? Has it helped strengthen the EAPN National Networks? What was missing?**

- All member countries should participate
- Good time for ideas, discussion and share knowledge/high solidarity between networks
- Specific needs are not solved and meant to be solved at NN
- Other experiences help in building other national strategy points
- Very good methodology/questions in advance helps preparing. Our work must continue.
- Participants' presentations shall be more direct. 'To the point'
- Small groups to talk and know each other are a good idea.
- Good time management, good information from NN but more time needed for group work
- Perfect from methodological and theoretical point of view
- Good format and good learning shared practices
- It's good to share power points before
- Nothing missing/Now we have more participants of NN
- Pillar 1 and 4 were strengthened compared to before

**3. Do you feel that you learned new skills/practices/approaches to developing anti-poverty networks? Name one / some of these that you will be taking back to your Network?**

- New approaches of participation of PEP in Annual Activities
- More use of EMIN report, we should include MASS Into Strategic planning
- Learning practices and approaches helped a lot as already works somewhere else
- Exchange profiles / disseminate more information about the work developed by other NN and their structure
- Importance of celebrating success even if small/showed we are on the right track/inspiring ideas from others
- Learn more about how to give our member organization better strategy
- Examples of including PEP to network
- Overcoming challenges and focus on prevention
- Creative ideas and a lot of solidarity
- Ability of networks to work and organize themselves with little funds
- Individual commitment can be as important as money
- Doing a survey of member organisations
- More PEP involved in this seminar
- Delegating policy making of governments to members
- MASS is very useful

- Participation of PEPs in the activities of networks in different tasks: as those who recruit new peps in network, those who participate in debates at local and national level/learnt the procedure of entering new organisations in the network.
- Inspiration and best practices

**4. Do you feel the Network Development Seminar has contributed to strengthening the direct participation of activists and people experiencing poverty and social exclusion in the work of EAPN? How? What was missing?**

- Good contribution
- PEP Presence could be good with 2 representatives of the network
- Yes, it is always in the focus and this keeps them on the center of attention
- Yes and now can be shared at national level. Examples can be used to implement this at our NN
- NN realized participation of PEP is crucial and there are practices developed on this
- Helps NN realizing they should do more on this
- Contributes a lot with concrete experiences from different projects in different countries
- Good facilitation
- Yes by allowing each network to share how they include PEPs and suggesting new ways for networks to do this in group discussions
- Maybe more work needed on how to address language barriers at EU level
- Gave guidelines for organizing participation
- Examples give you the opportunity to think of better ways to develop participation
- Weakness pillar 3 + economic problems

**5. In your opinion, what were the strengths and weaknesses of this Network Development Seminar?**

- Participation and presentations vs takes place few times
- Good shared knowledge and discussions vs No weaknesses
- Gives you strength and makes you feel part of something vs too much information
- The debate vs more time is needed
- Great exchange vs if people go to the point there is more time to work on ideas
- Good communication, shared information and examples vs lack of group work
- High level of communication and interaction
- No weaknesses it is an excellent event with a lot of information sharing
- Good interaction between participants
- Everybody could talk and share
- Helps to build contacts, good atmosphere and informal and spontaneous but no windows!

- Need more information about resources for implementing the strategic plan at national level

**6. Other comments, suggestions**

- Ask people with poor English to have PPT ready and speak louder
- More seminars needed
- Great facilitation
- Campaign building

*Thank you for completing this evaluation form!*

Please give it to Tanya or send it to: [sigrid.dahmen@eapn.eu](mailto:sigrid.dahmen@eapn.eu)